BMJ 2012;344:e3454 doi: 10.1136/bmj.e3454 (Published 24 May 2012)

ANALYSIS

Time to end the distinction between mental and neurological illnesses

Mental and neurological conditions are classified in different chapters of diagnostic manuals. P D White, H Rickards, and A Z J Zeman argue that this distinction is inconsistent with current scientific understanding and that the conditions should be grouped together as disorders of the nervous system

P D White professor of psychological medicine¹, H Rickards consultant neuropsychiatrist², A Z J Zeman professor of cognitive and behavioural neurology³

¹Barts and The London School of Medicine, Queen Mary University London, London, UK; ²Department of Neuropsychiatry, Birmingham University, UK; ³Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Exeter, UK

We are witnessing a revolution in the clinical science of the mind, as the techniques of basic neuroscience are successfully applied in mental health. It has become clear that disorders of the mind are rooted in dysfunction of the brain, while neurological disorders interact strongly with psychological and social factors and often cause psychological symptoms. Yet the dominant classifications of mental disorder—the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) and the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM)¹ 2—continue to draw a sharp distinction between disorders of the mind, the province of psychiatry, and disorders of the brain, the province of neurology. As these classifications are currently under revision, it is timely to consider a radical rethinking.3 4 The current line of demarcation between disorders of mind and of brain is counterproductive for clinicians and patients on both sides of the line. We propose, therefore, that psychiatric disorders should be reclassified as disorders of the (central) nervous system. This will update our classificatory system in the light of contemporary neuroscience and foster the integration of psychiatry into the mainstream of medicine, where it belongs.

Biological research into mental disorders has been transformed by advances in structural and functional brain imaging, neuropharmacology, and genetics. Meta-analyses have shown that structural brain abnormalities are present in schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder, Recurrent depressive disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, and obsessive compulsive disorder. Functional brain imaging has shown that both normal and abnormal emotions have neural representations. Meta-analyses show altered activation in the limbic and related brain systems in depression and bipolar disorder. We can now visualise the altered brain activity associated with hallucinations. Even conversion disorders are associated with

brain activation that differs from that induced by simulation^{w2} and may be related to dominant emotional circuits.^{w3}

Recent research has begun to delineate the genetic architecture of these disorders, implicating allelic variants, opp number variants, engene-gene and gene-environmental interactions, and epigenetic features. Imaging genetics has linked specific brain activations with genetic variations. To Some of these findings imply that our current taxonomy of psychiatric disorders will require revision. Is well as the genetic variations.

Psychotropic drugs alter brain function and structure. ¹⁶ The efficacy of antidepressants is correlated with brain activation in those parts of the brain that mediate mood. ^{w8} Their important effects on neurogenesis have recently been identified; ^{w9} antidepressants particularly enhance hippocampal neurogenesis and synaptogenesis. ^{w10} Non-pharmacological treatments, such as cognitive behaviour therapy, modulate brain activity. ^{w11}

This knowledge is consistent with the view that the mind is indivisible from the brain.¹⁷ Yet, the involvement of the brain in psychiatric disease is also fully compatible with the vital roles played by psychological and social factors. Phobias, for example, develop by classically conditioned responses; post-traumatic stress disorder requires a trauma; unemployment is a major risk factor for depressive illness.

Despite the intellectual and institutional barriers between neurology and psychiatry, most disorders of the central nervous system produce both "neurological"—motor and sensory—and "psychological"—cognitive, affective, and behavioural—effects. Mental symptoms constitute major elements of central nervous system disorders including multiple sclerosis, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, and Tourette's syndrome. Although some of these symptoms are

Correspondence to: P D White, Department of Psychological Medicine, St Bartholomew's Hospital, London EC1A 7BE p.d.white@qmul.ac.uk

Extra references w1-w13 supplied by the author (see http://www.bmj.com/content/344/bmj.e3454?tab=related#webextra)

reactive, such as the depression that can occur in any chronic disabling illness, others directly express the underlying pathophysiology—for example, the subcortical dementia of multiple sclerosis, the cognitive and motivational dimensions of Parkinson's disease, or the post-ictal psychosis of temporal lobe epilepsy. Clinical neuroscience has shown that brain regions once considered predominantly neurological, such as the cerebellum and the basal ganglia, also regulate thought and emotion. Cerebellar disease, for example, can cause impaired memory and planning as well as labile emotion. Well Cognitive and emotional symptoms occur as a result of brain stimulation in disorders of the basal ganglia. Well 3

The interests of patients referred to neurologists are best served by clinicians who can recognise and manage the psychological manifestations and origins of neurological disorders and symptoms. The place of psychological and behavioural interventions in patients with neurological disorders is being recognised increasingly. **14* A system of classification that draws a sharp distinction between neurological and psychiatric disorders is therefore unhelpful.

The evidence described above indicates that both neurological and psychiatric disorders should be regarded as disorders of the nervous system. However, our current systems of classification artificially separate them, giving rise to bizarre double accounting. For instance, in ICD-10 "dementia in Alzheimer's disease" is classified as a mental disorder (F00), while Alzheimer's disease is classified under neurology (G30).¹ Neurodevelopmental disorders also enjoy a hybrid existence. Edward's syndrome (trisomy 18), is classified under neurology, whereas learning disabilities of unknown aetiology are classified under "mental retardation" (F10-79) in psychiatry. Such double accounting is ubiquitous. Another example is insomnia: G47.0 provides a neurological classification for "disorders of initiating and maintaining sleep [insomnias]" whereas F51.0 describes "nonorganic insomnia." There are no clear grounds for deciding whether insomnia is psychiatric or neurological apart from the imponderable question of whether the cause is "organic" or "functional." But this distinction is fundamentally irrational. We are all organisms with functions: illness affects both organs and functions.

The requirement that conditions should be classified under either mental or physical chapters causes particular difficulty in the context of the functional somatic syndromes or somatoform disorders, in which physical symptoms are often assumed to have a psychological explanation. Both patients and their doctors are often dissatisfied with the resulting clinical encounters; this dissatisfaction stems in part from a dualistic diagnostic system that fails adequately to categorise conditions that fall into the gap between physical and psychological medicine. ¹⁹

For example, chronic fatigue syndrome may be classified as myalgic encephalomyelitis (ME) within the neurology chapter (G93.3) of ICD-10, or as neurasthenia, a psychiatric disorder (F 48.0). Similarly, tension-type headache is a neurological disease (G44.2), whereas persistent somatoform pain disorder (F45.4) is psychiatric. Somatoform disorders (F45) are regarded as mental disorders in both ICD-10 and DSM-4.12 This diagnosis requires that the doctor is satisfied that no medical diagnosis can explain the symptoms, which are the result of, or primarily related to, stress or psychological processes. But there are strong grounds for believing that these disorders have both physical and psychological causes. 20 21 Evidence is increasing, for example, that chronic pain syndromes may be caused by sensitisation of the central nervous system, the mechanisms for which are both related to and independent of mood.²¹ As in all medical conditions, beliefs, feelings, and consequent behaviour

are important in maintaining ill health and disability once an illness is established.^{w15} Thus the current classification is ill suited to functional somatic syndromes, which are neither solely physical nor solely mental but both.

The evidence that psychiatric disorders are based in the brain, while neurological (and other medical) disorders have prominent psychological aspects, implies that physical and psychological medicine should be realigned. This movement is already under way.^{5 22 23} While clinical scientists and practitioners are trying to reintegrate medicine and psychiatry, it is unhelpful to have a false dichotomy at the heart of our classification of disease. We propose, therefore, that the classifications of psychiatric and neurological disorders should be merged as disorders of the nervous system. Changing the classification will not, in itself, transform the relationship between psychiatry and the rest of medicine, but it will epitomise an intellectual shift with far reaching beneficial consequences.²³

For psychiatry, reclassification will contribute towardsreducing discrimination against people with psychiatric disease, to the benefit of patients who will no longer be regarded as belonging to the other half of medicine, held responsible for their plight, or cared for in environments that would be considered unacceptable for people with "physical" disorders. A more medicalised psychiatry might improve the currently low recruitment into the profession. 22 Regular exchange of staff in training between psychiatry and medicine will enhance the general medical and neurological skills of future psychiatrists and mental health nurses, helping them to comprehend and manage the biological dimension of their patients' disorders. 21 This may contribute to narrowing the mortality gap whereby patients with serious mental illnesses die from natural causes many years earlier than the rest of the population. 24

In neurology—and more generally in medicine—the reclassification will encourage doctors to recognise the third of patients who present to clinics with conditions that have a predominantly psychological explanation, and the even more common psychological ramifications of neurological and medical disease. Regular rotation of junior staff through mental health training posts will better equip them to deal with psychological aspects of medical disorders, which are currently under-recognised and undertreated.²⁵ Patients will benefit from less fragmented communication and more integrated care.

Our proposal will meet with several obstacles. In terms of nosology, the greatest of these is that there is no equivalent American classification of neurological disorder with which DSM could be merged.^{2 4} Therefore the first priority should be to merge the mental and behavioural and neurology chapters of ICD-11.

There may also be some reluctance among clinicians. We do not envisage that the disciplines of psychiatry and neurology will fuse in the short or medium term. Their distinctive histories, cultures, skill sets, and institutions militate against this. In addition to the broad differences of outlook between the two specialties there are also substantial differences of outlook within them. Nevertheless, the two disciplines have much to gain from closer collaboration. The change in the classification of disorders will help psychiatrists and neurologists to promote a biopsychosocial model of illness so that in future doctors will find it unnecessary to classify patients into physical or mental categories, which hinder assessment and management more than they help.^{22 23}

Most fundamentally, reclassifying mental illnesses as disorders of the nervous system may fall foul of the widespread tendency towards a dualism of mind and body.²⁶ Our proposal does not,

ANALYSIS

in fact, seek to deny the importance of the mind. On the one hand we argue that mental disorders are disorders of the brain; on the other hand, we argue that the mind requires attention throughout the territory of medicine. Our proposal that psychiatric disorders should be classified as disorders of the nervous system is consistent with the vital importance of psychosocial factors in all areas of medicine. Both clinical practice and clinical science have much to gain from developing a single classification for disorders of the nervous system.

We thank Claire Bithell, Kurt Kroenke, and Geoffrey Lloyd for their advice and comments on previous drafts.

Contributors and sources: This article is based on the authors' perspectives as psychiatrist, neuropsychiatrist, and neurologist. All authors have contributed to the concept, reviewing process, and writing of the paper. PDW is guarantor.

Competing interests: All authors have completed the ICMJE unified disclosure form at www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare no support from any organisation for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organisation that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous three years; PDW has done consultancy work for the UK Departments of Health, Work and Pensions, and a re-insurance company.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

- World Health Organization, The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders: Clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines. WHO, 1992.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders 4th ed. APA, 1994.
- Goldberg D. Should our major classifications of mental disorders be revised? Br J sychiatry 2010;196:255-6
- Kupfer DJ, Regier DA. Why all of medicine should care about DSM-5. JAMA 2010:303:1974-5.
- Bullmore E, Fletcher P, Jones PB. Why psychiatry can't afford to be neurophobic. Br J
- Steen RG, Mull C, McClure R, Hamer RM, Lieberman JA, Brain volume in first-episode schizophrenia: systematic review and meta-analysis of magnetic resonance imaging studies. Br J Psychiatry 2006;188:510-8.

- Arnone D, Cavanagh J, Gerber D, Lawrie SM, Ebmeier KP, McIntosh AM. Magnetic resonance imaging studies in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia: meta-analysis. Br J Psychiatry 2009;195:194-201.
- Kempton MJ, Geddes JR, Ettinger U, Williams SCR, Grasby PM. Meta-analysis, database, and meta-regression of 98 structural imaging studies in bipolar disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2008;65:1017-32.
- McKinnon MC, Yucel K, Nazarov A, MacQueen GM. A meta-analysis examining clinical predictors of hippocampal volume in patients with major depressive disorder. J Psychiatry Neurosci 2009;34:41-54.
- Woon FL, Hedges DW. Hippocampal and amygdala volumes in children and adults with childhood maltreatment-related posttraumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis. Hippocampus 2008;18:729-36
- Radua J, Mataix-Cols D. Voxel-wise meta-analysis of grey matter changes in obsessive-compulsive disorder. Br J Psychiatry 2009;195:393-402.
- Mayberg HS, Liotti M, Brannan SK, McGinnis S, Mahurin RK, Jerabek PA, et al. Reciprocal limbic-cortical function and negative mood: Converging PET findings in depression and normal sadness. Am J Psychiatry 1999;156:675-82.
- Fitzgerald PB, Laird AR, Maller J, Daskalakis ZJ. A meta-analytic study of changes in brain activation in depression. Hum Brain Map 2008;29:683-95.
- Allen P, Larøi F, McGuire PK, Aleman A. The hallucinating brain: A review of structural and functional neuroimaging studies of hallucinations. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2008;32:175-91. References and further reading may be available for this article. To view references and further reading you must purchase this article.
- International Schizophrenia Consortium, Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Nature 2009;460:748-52.
- Schatzberg AF, Nemeroff CB. The American psychiatric publishing textbook of psychopharmacology, 4th ed. American Psychiatric Publishing, 2009. Searle JR. *Mind: a brief introduction*. Oxford University Press, 2004.
- Butler C, Zeman AZJ. Neurological syndromes which can be mistaken for psychiatric conditions. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2005;76:31-8.
- White PD. Biopsychosocial medicine: an integrated approach to understanding illness. Oxford University Press, 2005.
- Hamilton WT, Gallagher AM, Thomas JM, White PD. Risk markers for both chronic fatigue and irritable bowel syndromes: a prospective case-control study in primary care. Psychol Med 2009:39:1913-21.
- Giesecke T, Gracely RH, Williams DA, Geisser ME, Petzke FW, Clauw DJ. The relationship between depression, clinical pain, and experimental pain in a chronic pain cohort. Arthi Rheum 2005:52:1577-84.
- Kandel ER. A new intellectual framework for psychiatry. Am J Psychiatry 1998;155:457-69.
- Kendell RE. The distinction between mental and physical illness. Br J Psychiatry 2001;178:490-3.
- Colton CW, Manderscheid RW, Congruencies in increased mortality rates, years of potential life lost, and causes of death among public mental health clients in eight states. Prev Chronic Dis 2006;3:A42.
- Carson AJ, Ringbauer B, MacKenzie L, Warlow C, Sharpe M. Neurological disease, emotional disorder, and disability: they are related: a study of 300 consecutive new referrals to a neurology outpatient department. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2000;68:202-6.
- Demertzi A, Liew C, Ledoux D, Bruno M-A, Sharpe M, Laureys S, et al. Dualism persists in the science of mind. Ann NY Acad Sci 2009;115:1-9.

Accepted: 15 March 2012

Cite this as: BMJ 2012;344:e3454

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd 2012